



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/827,233	04/05/2001	Cary Lee Bates	RSW920010047US1	7213
7590 04/21/2005			EXAMINER	
Andrew Calderon			PWU, JEFFREY C	
McGuire Woods LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1750 Tysons Boulevard			AKTONII	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1800			2143	
McLean, VA 22102			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/827,233	BATES ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jeffrey C. Pwu	2143					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· —	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
-	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
oco and distance detailed office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI	B/08) 5) Notice of	f Informal Patent Application (PTC	)-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2143

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 is vague and indefinite because it is unclear what is a desirable "preferred" viewing order.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over GUPTA et al. (US 2001/0042,098).
- 1. A method for providing email that enables a recipient of the email to navigate readily through a set of web pages associated with the email, comprising the acts of: composing an email to be sent from an originator to a recipient; (paragraph [0011])

Art Unit: 2143

In response to input of the originator, generating a web page navigation that includes a plurality of uniform resource locators (Annotations) and a preferred viewing order in which web pages identified by the plurality of uniform resource locators are to be viewed by the recipient; (method steps 402-408) associating the navigation with the email; (Annotation Data in Email Message) and

2. A method for guiding a recipient of an email readily through a set of web pages associated with the email, comprising the acts of:

sending the email and the navigation to the recipient. (paragraph [0011]-[0016])

receiving an email; (302; 352)

receiving a web page navigation associated with the email; (306,308)

passing the web page navigation to a web browser; and (356)

displaying by the browser a preferred viewing order in which web pages identified by the web page navigation are to be viewed; (paragraph [0013])

wherein the preferred viewing order is included in the navigation. (in a viewing order from top to bottom, see fig.8)

3. A method for providing email that guides a recipient readily through a set of associated web pages, comprising the acts of: composing an email to be sent from an originator to a recipient; in response to input of the originator, generating a web page navigation that includes a plurality of uniform resource locators that identify web pages to be viewed by the recipient in a preferred viewing order; sending the email and the

Art Unit: 2143

web page navigation to the recipient; passing the web page navigation to a web browser used by the recipient; and displaying by the browser an indication of the preferred viewing order. (Claim 3 is similarly rejected as in claims 1-2)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GUPTA et al. in view of Komuro (US 2002/0186239).

GUPTA teach the invention substantially as claimed including:

composing an email to be sent from an originator to a recipient (paragraph [0011]);

in response to input of the originator generating a navigation that includes:

a plurality of uniform resource locators (306, 308) that identify web pages to be viewed by the recipient;

sending the email and the navigation to the recipient (method steps 402-408); GUPTA fails to teach having a color associated with each of the URLs according to a color code to indicate a preferred viewing order in which the web pages are to be viewed by the recipient.

Art Unit: 2143

Komuro, however, discloses a system for indicating the degree of importance of a link by changing the color to thereby direct users attention to those links first, thereby indicating that those links should be viewed first, i.e. because they are most important, thus indicating a preferred viewing order (Komuro – fig.2; paragraph [0035], [0039] and [0052])

Page 5

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the link color indication, as taught by Komuro into the invention of GUPTA, in order to provide a visible mark to show the degree of importance of a link (Kimuro – page 1 paragraph [0009]), thus providing a form of a choice viewing order as users should view those links with greatest importance first.

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey C. Pwu whose telephone number is 571-272-6798.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

**Art Unit: 2143** 

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 14, 2005

JEFFREY PWU PRIMARY EXAMINER